UPR Advocacy Strategies in South America

South American Countries as States under Review and Recommending States

9th International Training Session on the UN Human Rights Council Universal Periodic Review (UPR) for educational and civil society organizations

CIFEDHOPPaulo de Tarso Lugon Arantes

Political and Historical Context

South America

- Independent States 2 centuries
- Dictatorships / Recent, incomplete transitional process
- 2 decades of contemporary democracies
- Contrasts between
 - Social, economic, human rights achievements
 - Dimension of challenges ahead
- Human Rights Treaties
 - Fast accession, difficult implementation
- Appreciation of multilateralism, including support to the UPR as the first global human rights evaluation mechanism

A South American State as SuR Chile

2nd Cycle – Jan 2014

Joint Civil Society Report

Follow-up on 2009 Recommendations

- 14 Organizations coordinated by Humanas
 - National HR Infrastructure
 - Equality and non-discrimination
 - Women's participation
 - Indigenous Peoples / Terrorism
 - LGBTI



A South American State as SuR Chile

Advocacy Strategies:

- Comparison Chart State Report / CS Report
 - Example: Assistance to Victims of the Dictatorship
 - State Assistance to Victims of EJ Executions and Disappearances during the dictatorship
 - CS Commission Valech strict eligibility criteria, leading to a low coverage (30%)



A South American State as SuR Chile

Advocacy Strategies:

- Follow-up in Santiago (embassies) and Geneva (missions)
 - Recommending State / Topic 2009
 - State of the legislation or practice in question
 - Germany Institutional Arrangements
 - Uruguay Women, Indigenous
 - Turkey Military Justice
 - Finland, Sweden, Netherlands abortion



A South American State as SuR Chile

General Assessment

- Chile accepted 180 out of 185 recommendations
- UPR Process During Government Transition
 - Low Chilean engagement in January, absence in side-event
 - New commitments in June rejected in January
 - Anti-terrorism laws against Mapuches
 - Criminalization of abortion
 - Challenge need to engage the State institutions in the process, beyond different government political orientations

Centro regional de Derechos Humanos y Justicia de Género

A South American State as a Recommending State - Brazil

Conectas

"Foreign Policy as Public Policy"

UPR as key instrument to include human rights in Brazil's foreign policy



Focus on:

- Requesting Brazil to make recommendations that have an impact on the ground
- Brazil's policies vis-à-vis SuR,
- Brazil's priority human rights topics multilaterally and UPR
- National good practices that can be extended to SuR
- Problematic issues internally, that can bring about national positive impact



A South American State as a Recommending State - Brazil

Joint Actions with Conectas' Partners:

- Brazilian constituency together with national/regional partners esp. South-South relations
- Knowledge of national laws and practices
- Knowledge of Brazil's foreign policy

Specific actions

- Conectas' regional "Connectors"
- Joint Meetings with Itamaraty (MoFA), Brazil's embassies and Mission in Geneva
- Joint letters suggesting recommendations
 - Previous recommendations, national good practices, Brazil's human rights priority issues
- Hosting of meetings in Sao Paulo
- Facilitation of media outreach in Brazil



A South American State as a **Recommending State - Brazil**

Example of Joint Action

Review of Nigeria:

Strategies

- Meeting in MoFA in SP Office during Conectas' Colloquium
- Joint advocacy letter UN Mission, Embassy in Lagos, MoFA's HR Division and Social Issues, reinforcing recommendations

Conectas' recommendations / Brazil's Recommendations to Nigeria

Women's Rights

Enactment of laws protecting women from violence and ensuring gender equality - (Brazil's Maria da Penha Law) / Made by Brazil

Prsion Management:

- Establishment of a tracking system to monitor pre-trial detention / Brazil's domestic challenge / Not made by Brazil



Death Penalty:

CONECTAS - Accede to the OP2-ICCPR / traditional Brazilian UPR recommendation / Made by Brazilis

A South American State as a **Recommending State - Brazil**

Challenges / Obstacles

- Absence of national partners on the ground (national civil society space)
- Absence of Brazilian diplomatic representation / no direct contact, geographic distances
- Brazil's priority partners watering down of recommendations
- National "not so good" practices difficult to be made by Brazil



Thank you

Comments, questions, suggestions?

ptlagva@gmail.com